Hello: I am sending this comment to show, I guess, the hubris and of the LW Board and General Manager. About a year or more ago, LW updated its public website (as well as for individual mutuals) and while looking for something today, I realized that the new Administration building for this project has apparently already been approved, as the website states it will "debut" in 2019, per the below screen save in the 2d paragraph. Surprise!

Our community services and amenities include clubhouses, restaurants, an 18-hole championship golf course, and MedStar Health medical center.

A newly renovated fitness center opened in September 2017, and in 2019, a brand new Administration building community.

Managed by Leisure World of Maryland Corporation (LWMC), our community is governed by residents.

Home sales are handled by homeowners, prospective residents, and real estate agents, based on the guild.

Sharon Campbell
Author, *Medicare Enrollment Personal Workbook*
From: Tom Conger <taconger41@gmail.com>
Date: September 10, 2018 11:27:44 AM EDT
To: JustUs admin <admin@justus.group>
Subject: CPAC and the FEP

I have just read the documents titled, "Updating the FEP" (as it relates to the Community Planning Advisory Committee--CPAC), and the Community Planning Advisory (CPAC) Charter. Both of these documents refer ONLY to a portion of what is commonly referred to by professional planners as the "Community Planning Process".

A professional process involves survey and analysis of the community, goal setting, plan formulation, and the implementation of the plan, which is normally accomplished primarily through a capital improvements program.

Conversely, Leisure World's CPAC ignores all of the requisite steps of a professional planning process except for the very last one--the "FEP", as a funding source.

In a truly meaningful community planning process, CPAC should be looking at ALL of the steps of the planning process, with advice of a qualified Community Planner.

Additionally, ALL of the steps should have GENUINE community participation built into them, not the ineffective short signed and inadequate attempts currently practiced.

The Leisure World Board of Directors has been requested on numerous occasions to add effective community participation, most particularly, with regard to a community-wide survey on their proposed administration building about which residents gathered over 2,000 signatures requesting a vote on the building.

Our planning process in Leisure World flies in the face of professional modern-day practice.

This a call to arms!! This undemocratic and unlawful method of "governance" is doomed.

---

Tom Conger (Mutual 18)
MCP - [Masters in Community Planning]
skatzman
President, JustUs - conscience of the community
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
website: www.justus.group
e-mail: admin@justus.group

town meeting organization (TMO)
website: www.townmeetingorganization.com

Albert Einstein – "We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them."
Soil Erosion in the Administration Building area and Water Intrusion into CH 1

Rainwater continues to both puddle and erode the soil around the administration building as a result of improper drainage. Instead of the water being diverted to the large drain seen in the top right corner of some of the pictures below, water is allowed to erode the soil and flow onto the sidewalk.

Once again, on September 10, 2018, it appears rainwater has intruded into Clubhouse 1. This time the water is found in the storage area of the Crystal Ballroom. The E&R office is aware of the water and will address it tomorrow, September 11, 2018. The source of the intrusion appears to be through the light fixture shown below. Clubhouse 1 could be the next building to be demolished because of LWCC and LWMC's failure to properly maintain trust properties.
slkatzman
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Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”
CCOC Complaint filed against Leisure World BOD and LW of Md.

The Lawsuit isn't the only Legal Action Against LWCC and LWMC

A Montgomery County CCOC complaint has been filed against the unlawfully seated Leisure World Community Corporation Board of Directors and its wholly owned subsidiary Leisure World of Maryland.

CCOC issued an automatic stay, which if violated - calls for a $500 a day fine. On 8/28/18 the LWCC BOD violated the stay 3 times by approving 3 contract expenditures, resulting in the necessity of filing a "Motion to Enforce Stay" with CCOC.

Click on the link below to watch the videos of the August 28, 2018 board meeting:

https://www.justus.group/open-forum-issues/lw-board-meeting-august-28-2018

The background leading to the class action lawsuit filed against LWCC, the CCOC complaint, the complainants "desired actions" and the CCOC notice stating the stay is effective immediately", are seen below:
Dear Sir or Madam:

Please see attached.

Do note that there is now a stay effective immediately.

Count #1: Failure to hold an election

Desired Actions:

1. expedited CCOC hearing

2. CCOC finding of violations, fine and full enforcement of law for HOA Act and §10B violations to include:

   • enjoin and stay Leisure World Community Corporation, Inc., from:

   • meeting and/or taking any actions to include but not limited to:

   • further use of member/unit owner funds for other than daily administrative operating expenses,

   • further pursuit of Montgomery County Planning Board Site Plan #820170120 approval for
     "new" administration building construction,

   • entering into any new contracts on behalf of LWCC while this dispute remains unresolved

   • approving 2019 annual budget

3. establish an oversight monitor that must be approved by CCOC to oversee spending of funds while this dispute remains unresolved

4. Appointment of CCOC monitor to coordinate with resident advocacy organization "JustUs" representatives to ensure:

   • election in compliance with HOA Act §11B-106.1, thereby excluding representation by
     "selection"
• dissolution of unlawfully seated LWCC BOD and LWCC Executive Committee

• LWCC bylaws/governing document revisions, to include but not limited to:

• providing for (1) vote per eligible unit

• 29 Mutuals to be divided into 5 Districts for purposes of decreasing the current unwieldy 34 to a more viable group of 9 representatives. Properly constituted LWCC BOD to consist of (5) District and (4) At-Large elected representatives,

• due to their ongoing and knowing non-compliance with State and County laws, current and past LW BOD representatives, with the possibility of few approved exceptions, will not be eligible to run as a candidate or be elected as a LW BOD representative

Here's the background on the CCOC complaint Count 1:

Violation of HOA Act - "members shall elect the governing body":

The Board of Directors of the Leisure World Community Corporation, a homeowners association, enforces unlawful bylaws, holds illegitimate annual selections in lieu of elections for the Leisure World Board of Directors, thus permitting that BOD to meet, take action and instruct expenditure of member/unit owner funds annually equating to approximately $25 Million Dollars, despite the fact that is without any lawful authority to do so.

Consisting of representatives selected from 29 individual Leisure World housing corporations,(known as "Mutuals") the LW BOD is improperly constituted because it does not permit homeowner/members from the 29 housing corporations (aka:mutuals) to directly elect their LW BOD representatives. LW BOD bylaws Article III governs the selection process - failing to permit members/unit owners to directly elect representatives to the LW BOD. As a result, member/unit owners have been denied their statutory rights under the HOA Act.

The LWCC has been a registered homeowners association in the State of Maryland since 1988. Though having been on notice regarding their noncompliance with the HOA Act as far back as 2012, the Leisure World Community Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary management corporation, Leisure World of Maryland Corporation, continue to violate the rights of the member/unit owners by ignoring all notices and refusing to amend the governing documents, continue holding illegitimate annual LWCC Executive Committee elections, and permitting the BOD to meet and take actions, including authorizing the expenditures of funds derived primarily from fees charged and paid by LW member/unit owners. The unlawfully constituted LW Board of Directors cast votes to allow the wholly owned management corporation use of the resident funded $25 Million annual budget.

The LW BOD selection/appointment process is in direct conflict with the Maryland Homeowners Association Act - Section 11B-106-1a which expressly states "A meeting of the members of the homeowners association to elect a governing body of the homeowners association shall be held...

On June 7, 2016 Sandra Brantley, Counsel to the General Assembly, Office of the Attorney General wrote to Delegate Ben Kramer: RP§ 11B-106.1 requires the association to "elect" a governing body, which suggests that a vote should occur,""...because the language of the statute says "elect" the better read of the current statute is that each member of the mutual itself would be entitled to vote as to who the governing body would be. Thus, no change in legislation is needed to require a vote."

On December 17, 2016 I sent this notice to the LW BOD:
During the 12/9/16 LW Executive Committee work session discussion, General Manager Kevin Flannery said, "if legally you're identified as a homeowners association then you don't have any opportunity through policy of the board to set what you wanna do. You have to conform to what the law says."

Statements declaring non-HOA status uttered in this session clearly identify tacit agreement to obfuscate LWCC obligations under law.

As a matter of fact, LWCC has long been registered as an HOA as required by Statute. Furthermore, Leisure World Community Corporation HOA status has been affirmed by the State of Maryland Office of Attorney General:

1. "LWCC is subject to the HOA Act."

2. "RP § 11B-106.1 requires the association to "elect" a governing body."

3. "According to the OAG's Consumer Protection Division, because the language of the statute says "elect" the better read of the current statute is that each member of the mutual itself would be entitled to vote as to who the governing body would be"

By law, in each case, the mutual LWCC BOD representatives must be elected by and from the entire mutual membership. In permitting representation by selection rather than election, LWCC is acting in defiance of State of Maryland statute. As such, they are not legally empowered to conduct business on behalf of the owners and Cooperative members. The continued pattern and practice of selecting/appointing rather than electing mutual representatives including alternates to the LW BOD, violates the State of Maryland Homeowners Act.
Section 11B-106-1a of the MD HOA Act which states the following:

“A meeting of the members of the homeowners association to elect a governing body of the homeowners association shall be held...”

In direct opposition to the legal requirements, LWCC Bylaws - Article III. The Board of Directors Bylaws read: http://lwmc.com/docs/Trust_Documents/LWCC_Bylaws_Revised_01-29-2013.pdf

Revised 1/28/13\[Bylaws of the Leisure World Community Corporation ARTICLE III. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  Section 1. Directors and Alternates. Each Mutual is entitled to select Directors qualified under the provisions of Section 2 of this Article, to cast its votes and otherwise represent it on the Board of Directors. Each Mutual may also select Alternate Directors who, in accordance with Section 5 of this Article, may represent the Mutual when its Director is absent. Such selections shall be made in accordance with procedures established by the Mutual. A Mutual may select more than one alternate for each Director; if it does so, it shall specify in writing any conditions governing the service of the alternates, including their order of precedence.

In order to become legally compliant:

It is incumbent upon the LWCC BOD Executive Committee to notify the President of each "underlying association" (mutual) that a special election be conducted, in which unit owners/shareholders will directly elect their "underlying association" (mutual) LWCC BOD representative(s) and alternate(s). Thereafter, the annual election ballots of each "underlying association" (mutual) are to include nominees for the specific positions of LWCC BOD representative(s) and alternate(s).

Each mutual annual election ballot must include the names of those unit owners/members choosing to run specifically as the LWCC BOD representative(s) and/or alternate(s). The nomination and vote is to be inclusive of every association member, i.e. election is not to be confined only to mutual BOD members.

As currently constituted, the LWCC BOD is in violation of the above referenced statute, thereby rendering invalid all actions taken by the LWCC BOD. Notably included in the actions subject to being adjudicated are: all votes to authorize/approve overall LW budget appropriations, contracts, plans of action and funding (including FEP).

The selected BOD participants have historically relied upon the master policy indemnification clause. Now being made aware that all are seated in violation of the statute, the issue of personal liability comes into question. Travelers will assuredly review this matter and potentially revoke individual indemnification coverage.
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This body has the ethical and fiduciary responsibility to immediately rectify this long standing state of HOA non-compliance. If convened, the scheduled January 6, 2017 LWCC BOD/Executive Committee meeting, should not be for the purpose of conducting elections. Such elections should not be held until special elections are conducted in each "underlying association" (mutual), at which time duly elected representatives will be seated and legally enabled to vote for Executive Committee members.

Failure to initiate compliance efforts by 1/2/17, including postponement of the 1/6/17 meeting elections, renders this as an official request for an LWCC Dispute Resolution Hearing, which is to be open to all LW owners/shareholders. This notice is considered exhaustion of remedy as required by CCOC regulations.

Awaiting your prompt reply, we anticipate your willingness to resolve this matter which is of great significance to all Leisure World residents.

sKatzmanPresident, "JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents

When asked in the January, 2017 LW BOD meeting for a reply to the notices, then Chair David Frager announced, "see you in court."

Point Blank©-slk
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Albert Einstein – "We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them."
Shirley, Lori

From: Shirley, Lori
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 3:36 PM
To: admin@justus.group
Cc: justus organization; members@townmeetingorganization.com; LW Green; Mills, Matthew
Subject: RE: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting - there is NO CONSENSUS!

Importance: High

Sheryl,

The Department has not received the revised site plan submittal as of today.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator | Area 2 Division | Regulatory Team
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: admin@justus.group <admin@justus.group>
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 9:44 PM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>; members@townmeetingorganization.com; LW Green <lwgreen@justus.group>
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting - there is NO CONSENSUS!
Importance: High

Lori:

Despite that which Tom Snyder announced at the Aug. 28, 2018 LWCC BOD meeting and this FEP Update contained in the LWCC 9/25/18 BOD Agenda Packet, I have just checked and see nothing documented on the DAIC:


what is the status?

slk
From: "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Date: July 20, 2018 2:33:59 PM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Mills, Matthew" <matthew.mills@mnccpc.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, "members@townmeetingorganization.com" <members@townmeetingorganization.com>, LW Green <lwgren@justus.group>, "wdogs@justus.group" <wdogs@justus.group>, ben kramer <kramerdelegate19@aol.com>, vaughn stewart <vaughnstewart3@gmail.com>, "Sanders, Carrie" <carrrie.sanders@montgomeryplanning.org>, "Butler, Patrick" <patrick.butler@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: RE: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting - there is NO CONSENSUS!

Hi Sheryl,

This morning after receiving your e-mail (below) I checked in the DARC Division and no submittals have been received for the updated site plan. A meeting between the Applicant and Area 2 Regulatory review staff has not been scheduled. Your request that the rescheduled site plan hearing be held at Leisure World should be made to Planning Board Chairman Anderson’s office, similar to the request you made last year for the November 30, 2017.

Please let me know if you have any other questions. Thanks.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

M-NCCPC

From: admin@justus.group <admin@justus.group>
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2018 9:21 AM
To: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Cc: Mills, Matthew <matthew.mills@mnccpc.org>; Justus organization <justus@justus.group>; members@townmeetingorganization.com; LW Green <lwgren@justus.group>; wdogs@justus.group; ben kramer <kramerdelegate19@aol.com>; vaughn stewart <vaughnstewart3@gmail.com>
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting - there is NO CONSENSUS!

1. what is the status of the "updated" LW site plan resubmission?
2. when is the meeting with P&P staff & LW scheduled.
3. this is to request that the rescheduled site plan hearing be held in Leisure World.

slkatzman
President, JustUs
admin@justus.group
conscience of the community

"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: July 11, 2018 6:32:12 PM EDT
To: Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, Matt Mills <matthew.mills@mncppc.org>, mont.cc.planningboard@justus.group
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>, members@townmeetingorganization.com, LW Green <lwgreen@justus.group>, lwdogs@justus.group, Marc Elrich <Councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov>, vaughn.stewart <vaughn.stewart3@gmail.com>
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting - there is NO CONSENSUS!

According to Nicole Gerke - the revised site plan documents were to have been submitted yesterday---
have you received the documents and when will staff/applicant meeting be held?

All Montgomery County Planning Board staff and Commissioners need note:

AT NO TIME HAS THERE EVER BEEN A REQUEST FOR COMMUNITY MEMBER/UNIT
OWNER CONSENSUS.

when asked publicly, LW General Manager Kevin Flannery continues to deny that the Planning Board ever called for community consensus.

slk

From: JustUs admin <admin@justus.group>
Date: July 9, 2018 9:57:52 AM EDT
To: JustUs <justus@justus.group>, members@townmeetingorganization.com, LW Green <lwgreen@justus.group>
Subject: Gerke just said @ CPAC meeting

site plan expected to be submitted tomorrow to Park & Planning staff
Appendix U

4 binders to also be presented to P&P staff to include:
- history of FEP - spec. Admin. Bldg - CH 1
meeting min. from all advisory comm. back to 2012
LW News articles published over the years
comm. activities - Board activities - mutual presentations - news clippings -

no mention of CONSENSUS!

From: "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Date: June 14, 2018 10:40:21 AM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Subject: RE: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting

Hi Sheryl,

This is the first that I've heard that the revised plans have been resubmitted. I will go to the DARC Division later today and check on that status. It's possible it could be in "intake" at this time. To answer your question about a meeting scheduled with Area 2 staff and the Applicant, no, a meeting has not been scheduled.

Lori Shirley
Planner Coordinator
Area 2 Division
Montgomery County Planning Department
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
T 301-495-4557
F 301-495-1313
E Lori.Shirley@montgomeryplanning.org
W MontgomeryPlanning.org

From: JustUs admin <admin@justus.group>
Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2018 9:49 AM
To: Mills, Matthew <mattew.mills@mcpc.org>; mont.co.planningboard@justus.group; Montgomery County Council <county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov>; justus organization <justus@justus.group>; members <members@townmeetingorganization.com>; LW Green <lwgreen@justus.group>; LW Dogs <ldogs@justus.group>
Cc: Marc Elrich <Councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov>; ben kramer <Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>; ben shneider <ben@shneiderforcouncil.com>; vaughn stewart <vaughnstewart3@gmail.com>; seth grimes <seth.grimes@gmail.com>
Subject: Fwd: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting

Lori:

Herman Cohen, Chair - LW Security & Transportation Advisory Comm. just stated that LW has submitted their revised site plan to you. Is this a correct statement, and if so, is there a meeting with you/your staff and LW management, scheduled?

Matthew: there has been no reply to the June 5, 2018 email below:
From: admin@justus.group <admin@justus.group>
Date: Tue, Jun 5, 2018 at 6:50 PM
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting
To: Matt Mills <matthew.mills@mnccplc.org>, mont.co.planningboard@justus.group, Montgomery County Council
<county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, members
<members@townmeetingorganization.com>, LW Green <lwgreen@justus.group>, lwdogs@justus.group
Cc: Marc Elrich <Councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov>, ben kramer
<Benjamin.Kramer@house.state.md.us>, ben shnider <ben@shniderforcouncil.com>, vaughn stewart
<vaughnstewart3@gmail.com>, seth grimes <seth.grimes@gmail.com>

Matthew:

simply because staff/applicant meetings are not included in your referenced regulation, does not mean said regulation precludes stakeholder attendance @ any meeting between staff and applicant -- specifically when the stakeholders are the ones footing the bill - NOT the applicant employees.

unless you are able to provide any regulation specifically addressing the issue raised, holding a meeting between staff and LW employees will be in violation of our rights.

slk

Ms. Katzman:

MCPB Regulation 50/59.00.01.06. Evaluation of Applications. states:
...
C. Public Participation.
   Any individual or organization with an interest in or concern about a proposed development or specific application may participate in the review and approval process by:
   • Attending the pre-submission community meeting organized and held by the applicant before an application is submitted to the Planning Department;
   • Reviewing information about the submitted plan application online at the Planning Department website; and
   • Attending the DRC meeting scheduled for the application, if applicable. The DRC meeting is not open to public participation, but members of the public may attend and listen to the discussion. Groups should notify DARC staff about their interest in attending the DRC meeting before the scheduled date so that space accommodations can be made.
The meeting you are describing, assuming it even takes place, is not the DRC meeting referenced in the above Regulation. As a result, attendance at the meeting will be limited to the Applicant and Staff.

Thank you.

Matthew T. Mills
Acting Principal Counsel
The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
Office of the General Counsel
8787 Georgia Avenue – Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
(301)495-4646
(301)495-2173 (F)

---

From: admin@justus.group <admin@justus.group>
Sent: Friday, June 01, 2018 12:54 PM
To: Mills, Matthew <matthew.mills@mncppc.org>
Cc: justus organization <justus@justus.group>; members <members@townmeetingorganization.com>
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting

your reply with any regulation precluding stakeholder attendance from any meeting between P&P staff and applicant, is requested.

slk

---

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: May 30, 2018 10:26:00 AM EDT
To: Matt Mills <matthew.mills@mncppc.org>
Cc: Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>, members <members@townmeetingorganization.com>, LW Green <lwgreen@justus.group>
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting

of course you cannot "guarantee" there will be a meeting -

however, LW has announced they will be meeting with planning board staff - therefore when asked if this meeting will be open, Lori said she thought not - as such, you are asked to provide any regulation stating that site plan area residents are precluded from attending said meetings.

slk

---

From: "Mills, Matthew" <matthew.mills@mncppc.org>
Date: May 30, 2018 10:04:52 AM EDT
To: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Cc: "Shirley, Lori" <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: RE: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting

Ms. Katzman:
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I will be happy to look into this if you would like, but, as a preliminary matter, I must warn you that there is no guarantee there will actually be any type of meeting when the new application is submitted. It is possible the Applicant could simply drop it off with the Department for our Staff to begin evaluating.

Regards,
Matt Mills

Matthew T. Mills
Acting Principal Counsel
The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
Office of the General Counsel
8787 Georgia Avenue – Suite 205
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
(301)495-4646
(301)495-2173 (F)

---

From: admin@justus.group <admin@justus.group>
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 12:14 PM
To: Mills, Matthew <matthew.mills@mncppc.org>
Cc: Shirley, Lori <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting

From: "admin@justus.group" <admin@justus.group>
Date: May 25, 2018 1:00:43 PM EDT
To: Matt Mills <matthew.mills@mncppc.org>
Cc: Lori Shirley <lori.shirley@montgomeryplanning.org>, justus organization <justus@justus.group>
Subject: Site Plan 820170120 - staff and applicant meeting

Matt:

The applicant will be coming back to the staff shortly with their updated site plan.

Is there any rule/regulation that would preclude stakeholder/resident representatives from being in attendance at that meeting for the purpose of observation.

skatzman
President, JustUs - conscience of the community
"JustUs" advocates to enhance the quality of life for all Leisure World residents
website: www.justus.group
email: admin@justus.group
town meeting organization (TMO)
website: www.townmeetingorganization.com

Albert Einstein – “We cannot solve our problems with the same level of thinking that created them.”